## **OVERVIEW**

### Air Pollution Impact Model for Electricity Supply **AIRPOLIM-ES**

Tessa Schiefer Harry Fearnehough October 2019



-

Ambition

Action

to

### Before we start...

Who we are and project context

#### **NewClimate Institute:**



Germany-based research institute/ think tank active in international climate policy

#### **Ambition to Action:**



3-year project funded under the German International Climate Initiative (IKI) implemented by NewClimate Institute and ECN, part of TNO

#### **Objectives:**



Support and accelerate further development and implementation of NDCs in four partner countries



## MEASURING THE HEALTHS IMPACTS OF AIR POLLUTION

Methodology and data inputs



### Introduction

From air pollutants to health effects





### **Sources of air pollutants**

Energy and air pollution

Agriculture; solvents; and waste

### Fuel supply 🛢

Extraction, storage, transport, and transformation of fossil fuels



Cooking, heating, and lighting

PM<sub>2.5</sub> NO<sub>x</sub> SO<sub>2</sub>



Power **A** 

Combustion of coal, oil, gas, bioenergy, and waste

Industry

Fuel combustion; process emissions

Transport 🖚

Exhaust fumes; brake, tyre and road wear; and fuel evaporation

### Impact Pathway Approach

Methodological framework





### **Impact Pathway Approach**









 $\sum_{i}^{N} P_{i} \times \Delta C_{i} \times BR$ 

#### Zhou et al. 2006 coefficients

Intake Fraction =

Intake fraction coefficients for population residing within bands of 0–100 km, 100–500 km, 500–1,000 km, and 1,000–3,300 km from emission source  $\rightarrow$  interpretation of coefficients: if population increases by 1 million, the intake fraction increases by x

(Zhou et al. methodology: Step 1: Estimation of intake fractions through dispersion modelling for 29 Chinese coal power plants and population mapping; Step 2: Regression with estimated intake fraction as dependent and population within distance bands as independent variable)

Widely used approach, e.g. in the following studies: IMF (2014) Getting Energy Prices Right, Greenpeace International (2014) South Africa Study, Cropper et al. (2012) The Health Effects of Coal Electricity Generation in India

### Key data inputs required in the model





#### **Plant data**

Lifetime Installed capacity Capacity factor Heat rate (efficiency) Emissions control Location



#### **Population mapping**

Gridded population data GIS Mapping



#### **Population data**

Country-specific mortality rates Share of population per age category Life expectancy at specific age Population growth estimates

### Input data



#### Inside the Excel tool

#### Plant data

Source: Global Coal Plant Tracker (2019), WorldPop, GIS mapping results

Enter "1" if power plant should be included in analysis, "0" if not Do not enter value below 2020

2020 Enter "default"

Enter "default" if not known Enter "default" if not known

|                    | Plant_List Plant_ | ID Scenario_L    | _ist de_Swite | h lant_Fu | el lant_Ty | e ant_Countr | y Plant_Statu | s ant_StartDate        | e t_Lifetime | Plant_Cap |                    | Plant_Em  | issionControl        | Plant_PM2.5                  | Plant_NOx                  | Plant_SO2                  | Plant_Lat   | Plant_Long  | Plant_Ef            | t_AnnualGen                         | Plant_LifeGei                         |
|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------|-----------|------------|--------------|---------------|------------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Plant              |                   | Scenario         | Include       | Fuel      | Туре       | Country      | Status        | Start of<br>operations | Lifetime     | Capacity  | Capacity<br>factor | Heat rate | Emissions<br>control | PM2.5<br>emissions<br>factor | Nox<br>emissions<br>factor | SO2<br>emissions<br>factor | Latitude    | Longitude   | Plant<br>efficiency | Annual<br>electricity<br>generation | Lifetime<br>electricity<br>generation |
| text               | text              |                  |               | text      | text       | text         | text          | date                   | years        |           |                    | Btu/KWh   | text                 | t/GWh-th                     | t/GWh-th                   | t/GWh-th                   | degrees (°) | degrees (°) |                     | GWh                                 | GWh                                   |
| Baganuur Pauer LL  | C BAG             | New Capacity     |               | 1 Coal    | Coal       | Mongolia     | Announced     | 2023                   | 60           | 700       | 65%                | 9,250     | Average              | default                      | default                    | default                    | 47.7839     | 108.3722    | 37%                 | 3,986                               | 239,148                               |
| Capacity expansion | of Choiba CHO2    | New Capacity     |               | 1 Coal    | Coal       | Mongolia     | Announced     | 2022                   | 60           | 50        | 65%                | 10,576    | Average              | default                      | default                    | default                    | 48.0899     | 114.5416    | 32%                 | 285                                 | 17,082                                |
| Choibalsan CHP     | CHO               | Existing Capacit | у             | 1 Coal    | Coal       | Mongolia     | Announced     | 2019                   | 57           | 36        | 65%                | 10,576    | Average              | default                      | default                    | default                    | 48.0899     | 114.5416    | 32%                 | 205                                 | 11,684                                |
| CHP 3              | CHP3a             | Existing Capacit | У             | 1 Coal    | Coal       | Mongolia     | Announced     | 2019                   | 8            | 157       | 65%                | 10,576    | Average              | default                      | default                    | default                    | 47.8956     | 106.8651    | 32%                 | 894                                 | 7,152                                 |
| CHP 3 Extention    | CHP3b             | New Capacity     |               | 1 Coal    | Coal       | Mongolia     | Announced     | 2023                   | 60           | 250       | 65%                | 10,576    | Average              | default                      | default                    | default                    | 47.8956     | 106.8651    | 32%                 | 1,424                               | 85,410                                |
| CHP 3 Extention 2  | CHP3c             | New Capacity     |               | 1 Coal    | Coal       | Mongolia     | Announced     | 2023                   | 60           | 75        | 65%                | 10,576    | Average              | default                      | default                    | default                    | 47.8956     | 106.8651    | 32%                 | 427                                 | 25,623                                |

#### **Population**

Source: WorldPop, GIS mapping results, Zhou et al. (2006

Population coverage In-country PopCoverage Switch 2020 WorldPop year: Plant ID Plant Country In-country In-country In-country In-country All countries All countries All countries All countries In-country In-country In-country In-country population population population population Exposed within radius within radius within radius population over Plant 100 - 500 km 500 - 1000 km 1000 - 3300 km 100 - 500 km 500 - 1000 km 1000 - 3300 km 100 km 100 km Lamu power station Reference REF1 Kenya 0.27 22.28 23.71 0.06 0.37 35.06 111.35 612.06 41% 18,760 61.52 18.760 Kitui power station Unit 1 Refere REF2 1.68 42.04 2.60 2.27 161.43 636.29 41% Kenya Kitui power station Unit 2 Refere REF3 1.68 42.04 2.60 2.27 61.52 161.43 636.29 41% 18,760 Kenya Kitui power station Unit 3 Refere REF4 Kenya 1.68 42.04 2.60 2.27 61.52 161.43 636.29 41% 18,760

### **Data Sources: Plant data**





### **Data sources: Population mapping**





### **Data sources: Population data**





## AIR POLLUTION HEALTH IMPACT INDICATORS

Illustrative results



### **Outputs**





#### Emissions

Annual and lifetime emissions for:



#### **Health Impacts**

Annual and lifetime premature deaths and years of life lost for:



Available on plant, scenario and country level & restricted to country population or for all affected population

- PM<sub>2.5</sub>
- *NO<sub>x</sub>*
- *SO*<sub>2</sub>

- Lung cancer
- Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
- Ischemic heart disease
- Stroke

### **Number of premature deaths**





15

### Number of years of life lost











Illustrative results

### **Emissions**







17

## APPLICATIONS OF AIRPOLIM-ES



## Published and ongoing application of AIRPOLIM-ES





## Air pollution health impact assessment in Kenya

#### Illustrative results







\* Reference case: Lamu power station: 981 MW (start: 2024), Kitui power station: 960 MW (start: 2034); Alternative case: Lamu power station: 450 MW (start: 2034); assumed lifetime of all coal-fired power plants is 30 years



## DISCUSSION



### **Limitations and challenges**

Considerations for the accuracy and interpretation of results



#### ZHOU ET AL. (2006) COEFFICIENTS

Limitations: Not taking into account stack height, meteorological conditions and other location specific factors

#### **EMISSION FACTORS**

**Limitations:** Only provide approximate emission estimations, however plant-specific factors can be entered if available

### LINEAR CONCENTRATION RESPONSE FUNCTIONS FROM GLOBAL BURDEN OF DISEASE STUDY

**Limitations:** Concentration response functions are assumed to be linear in a way that health effects are independent from the initial level of pollution. This is a simplified approach used in many other studies.

#### HEALTH IMPACT ESTIMATES FOR POPULATION OUTSIDE OF ANALYSIS COUNTRY

**Limitations:** Those estimates do not take into account country-specific characteristics (including population growth, mortality rates and age shares) but assume those of the country where the power plant is located.



#### **GIS KNOWLEDGE**

Estimating population exposure requires at least basic knowledge of geographic information system software

#### **EXCEL KNOWLEDGE**

Using the model requires intermediate Excel knowledge / experience



# QUESTIONS / COMMENTS / FEEDBACK

Tessa Schiefer t.schiefer@newclimate.org Harry Fearnehough h.fearnehough@newclimate.org



